<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade Band</th>
<th>Adherence to Style (10%)</th>
<th>Written English (20%)</th>
<th>Abstract (20%)</th>
<th>Background Material (25%)</th>
<th>Discussion (25%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excellent</strong> (85 - 100%)</td>
<td>The document conforms exactly to the given specifications</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>The content and results of the paper are accurately yet concisely summarised.</td>
<td>The student has reviewed significant literature in the topic area and has clearly and concisely presented the necessary background material. The relevance of the reviewed material to the topic area has been clearly shown and meaningful conclusions reached.</td>
<td>The student has reviewed their own work, demonstrates excellent understanding and has clearly and accurately illustrated the relevance of the work to the topic area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Good</strong> (75 - 84%)</td>
<td>The document conforms very closely to the given specifications</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>The content and results of the paper are accurately summarised.</td>
<td>The student has reviewed significant literature in the topic area and has presented the necessary background material. The relevance of the reviewed material to the topic area has been shown, and meaningful conclusions reached.</td>
<td>The student has reasonably considered their own work, demonstrates very good understanding and has demonstrated the relevance of the work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Good</strong> (65 - 74%)</td>
<td>The document conforms closely to the given specifications</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>The content and results of the paper are satisfactorily captured.</td>
<td>The student has reviewed relevant literature in the topic area and presented necessary background material. The student shows good understanding of the material and its relevance.</td>
<td>The student demonstrates good understanding of the work conducted and some insight into the relevance of the work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Satisfactory</strong> (50 - 64%)</td>
<td>The document conforms adequately to the given specifications</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>The content and results of the paper are satisfactorily captured.</td>
<td>The student has reviewed some literature in the topic area and presented some meaningful theory which is relevant to the topic.</td>
<td>The student demonstrates satisfactory understanding of the work conducted and its relevance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poor</strong> (25 - 49%)</td>
<td>Many specifications were ignored.</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Limited coverage of relevant background material. Flaws in understanding are evident.</td>
<td>The student demonstrates poor understanding of the work and/or has little insight into the relevance of the work conducted.</td>
<td>The student demonstrates poor understanding of the work and/or has little insight into the relevance of the work conducted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Very Poor</strong> (0 - 24%)</td>
<td>The document fails to meet the standard at this level.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>The abstract fails to meet the standard at this level.</td>
<td>The literature reviewed and the theory presented are irrelevant to the topic area.</td>
<td>The student demonstrates no understanding of the work and/or has no insight into the relevance of the work conducted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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This mark sheet is for School of ITEE thesis project courses commencing after 1 January 2021. **Supervisors:** please enter the mark into the ITEE Project Database and return feedback to students.
Conference Paper Marking Guidelines

The conference paper should demonstrate that the student has achieved:
1. Adherence to style of a conference paper, and specifically to the template provided.
2. Excellence of written English in technical communication
3. The ability to "Abstract" a paper
4. Presentation of essential background material
5. Discussion of implication of literature review and investigations completed.

Adherence to spirit and style of a conference paper, and specifically to the template provided.

A conference paper is usually read as an isolated work, and so it must stand alone. Your communication of your chosen topic must be complete, concise and effective. As conference papers are usually published together in bound conference proceedings, strict adherence to the paper layout guidelines is expected to ensure a uniform and professional appearance of the complete document.

Excellence of written English in technical communication

Any work presented for publication by a journal or at a conference must meet the highest standards of written English. There should be no spelling errors or grammatical errors. English style should be formal and professional, adhering to the conventions of technical prose.

The ability to "Abstract" a paper

Since the abstract is often used in searchable publication databases, it is vital that it accurately reflects the content of the paper it summarises. It should contain a summary of the topic of the paper and the experiments or development undertaken. Yet it should be concise.

Presentation of essential background material

Although usually read by those within the field, a review of the relevant literature, and some revision of necessary theory is still expected. This should be concise and precise. Explanation of the relevance and implications to your work should put this theory and literature review in perspective.

Discussion

The discussion of the work should demonstrate complete understanding of the work and insight into the relevance of the work to the topic area – based on the presented review of background material. Your conclusions should summarize the work and the implications of your findings.

Generating a grade

All of these factors must be considered to greater or lesser degrees in the assessment of the conference paper, depending on the nature of the thesis.